Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/580,585	DUBEDOUT ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	JAY R. RIPLEY	3679
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) <u>JAY R. RIPLEY</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Joe Wrkich</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 16 December 2011.		
Type: Telephonic Video Conference Personal [copy given to: applicant	applicant's representative]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes If Yes, brief description:	⊠ No.	
Issues Discussed 101 112 102 103 Others (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1,6,8,9,11-13,17-19 and 22</u> .		
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>n/a</u> .		
Substance of Interview (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc)		
Discussed was suggested language to the claims to place the application in a condition for an indication of allowability.		
Specifically discussed were:		
-The removal of the term "initially" from the claims to clarify what is being claimed Reciting in claim 1 what the term "section" is indicated to be in the specification, i.e. an annular cross-sectional		
areaAdding the subject matter of claims 6 and 17 to claim 1Cancelling claims 18-19.		
Applicant agreed that the claims can be amended as discussed via an Examiner's Amendment.		
See accompanying Examiner's Amedment for the particulars.		
Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.		
Examiner recordation instructions : Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.		
☐ Attachment		

Application No.

Applicant(s)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (Rev. 8/11/2010)